

At a Quarterly Court convened and held for the County of Southampton the 16th August 1822
 Present Joseph J. Cland, Nathaniel Williams
 William H. Richardson & Nicholas M. Selwell } Gent

Benjamin Powell, William Powell, Robert Reed and Nancy Whitfield,
 infant by Whitfield his next friend

against

Augusta Pope

Def.

{ In Chancery
 Hafft

This day the cause came on again to be heard on the papers formerly read and was argued by counsel, and it appearing to the Court that there are other lands devised by Will. of said Powell that are undivided, it is ordered adjudged and determined that the same commissioners appointed by the original decree do divide all such other land mentioned in said will, according to the terms of said will and report to the Court in order to a final decree.

William J. Selwell

Def.

against

Henry J. Smith

{ In Deb.
 Hafft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney who pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally, the judgment obtained in the Office against the defendant is set aside and the cause is continued till the next Quarterly Term.

The Commonwealth

against

William Harrison & Thomas Jones

{ Upon Information
 Hafft

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by their attorney, and therupon came a jury to wit: Sige J. Barnard Lawrence Pope James B. Westbrooks Alfred J. Monette Edwin Williams Richard Hale and Joseph Joyce Thorne & Griffey Samuel Hills Jeremiah Chappell John Holland & John Hart who being sworn did find and return the verdict to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath aforesaid and in the following words to wit: "We of the jury find the defendant Jones guilty and of the fine to one cent, and we further find the defendant Harrison not guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Commonwealth recover against the defendant Jones the sum of one cent the fine aforesaid as of record and the costs of this prosecution, and the said defendant may be taken up. And as to the defendant Harrison it is considered by the Court that he go thence without day.

The Commonwealth

against

Mills H. Tanner & John George

{ Upon Information
 Hafft

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by their attorney and therupon came a jury to wit: Sige J. Barnard Lawrence Pope James B. Westbrooks Alfred J. Monette Edwin Williams Richard Hale and Joseph Joyce Thorne & Griffey Samuel Hills Jeremiah Chappell John Holland